

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,130	10/02/2003	Karl-Hans Baernklau	32860-000638/US	6019	
30596	7590 08/17/2005		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			DONOVAN,	DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
P.O.BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/676,130	BAERNKLAU ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lincoln Donovan	2832			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 June 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1.5-9.15-17 and 19 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 2-4.10-14.18 and 20 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/676,130

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-7, 8-9, 15-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meriwether [US 5,844,186] in view of Yamagata et al. [US 6,559,745].

Meriwether discloses a motor contactor [10] with a mechanical lockout [figure 1] comprising:

- an electromagnetic drive apparatus [12];
- a moving contact [column 3, lines 55-60] operable by the drive apparatus and switchable between a closed position adapted to connect terminals and a disconnected position adapted to disconnect the terminals;
- an actuating apparatus [55] including a linear actuated, along a common axis with the contact, actuating element [22] adapted to interact with the moving contact element movable between an operating position and a safe position wherein, in the operating position, the moving contact is switchable by the electromagnetic drive between the open and closed positions and wherein by movement of the actuating element to the safe position, the moving contact element is adapted to be blocked from moving the contact to the closed position.

Meriwether disclose everything claimed except the use of bridging type contacts for the movable contact.

Yamagata et al. discloses a circuit breaker [figure 1a] having a manual operating mechanism [5] cooperating with a bridge type contact [2].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use bridge type contacts with the switching device of Meriwether, as suggested by Yamagata et al., for the purpose of reducing contact welding.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, Meriwether disclose everything claimed except the use of a rotory switch actuate the actuator.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rotary switch to actuate the actuator in order to decrease the force necessary to provide actuation.

Regarding claims 9 and 16, Meriwether discloses the use of a padlock to maintain the various locked positions [figure 3, column 4, line 61-column 5, line13].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 11-14, 18 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06-13-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that applicant argues that if the tab 22, or actuating element, remains in the actuating position the contacts of the connector are not switchable

Art Unit: 2832

between the bridging position and the disconnected position. Applicant claims that the contacts are movable between an operating position and a safe position, wherein, in the operating position, the moving contact element is switchable by the electromagnetic drive apparatus... and wherein, by movement of the actuating element to the safe position, the moving contact element is adapted to move from the bridging position to the disconnected position and is adapted to be blocked in the disconnected position. Meriwether discloses the tab 22 being permitted to move back and forth with motion of the armature during normal operation of the contactor (column 4, lines 5-15) and in the safe position preventing it from moving in the actuation direction and thus physically preventing closure of the contacts of the contactor (column 4, lines 4-13).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Enad Elvin can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd